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ERROR ANALYSIS IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

Lina Tri Astuty Beru Sembiring *)

Abstract

For many years, studies on learners' errors in second language acquisition have become highly valued. This issue related to the language teaching and learning process where teachers, researchers and textbook writers give much attention on it. Error analysis is one of the major topics that has important contributions to the variety of SLA areas. It is become significant for the insights it provides into the strategies employed in second language acquisition, and in turn into the process of language learning in general. Error analysis also provides a methodological aspect for investigating learners' second language acquisition and constitutes an appropriate starting point for the investigation. In this article, the writer will focus on examining the detail of error analysis in second language acquisition. In her review, some definitions, taxonomies, procedures and also criticism toward it will be discussed. The researcher will also examined recent research related to the use of error analysis. It is hoped that this paper will help readers including teachers, researchers and learners in understanding the term of error analysis better.
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INTRODUCTION

Every language learner either in first or second language must have made an error in producing or comprehending a sentence. But since L2 learners’ errors are generally viewed as unwanted forms, many studies have been conducted to investigate and solve this problem. The study about learners’ error has begun since 1940s together with the development of Applied linguistics science. Lado in Gass and Selinker (1983) stated that in the comparison between native and foreign language lies the key to ease all difficulties in foreign language learning. This statement has made a conviction that a linguistic’s differences can be used to predict learning difficulties which later on developed and became the base of Contrastive Analysis study. Ellis (1994:47) states that Contrastive analysis is a method that is used to predict the errors that learners make by identifying the linguistic differences between their L1 and the target language. It believes that errors occur as a result of interference of learners transfer native language habits on L2. The interference is believed to occur when the habit of the native languages is different with the target language. In other study, Fistat (1981) claims that CA is a subdiscipline of linguistics concerned with the comparison of two or more language or subsystems of language in order to determine both differences and similarities between them. CA then gives it most important contribution by the delimitation of Second language structure which obstruct learning.

Unfortunately, this kind of analysis is refuted by several studies. They believe that contrastive analysis is inadequate to predict the interference problems of a language learners. In 1970s, the work of Error Analysis (EA) then has been developed to supplanted CA. In the beginning of its occurrence, EA is known as procedures used by both researcher and teacher to collect samples of learners language, identify the error in the sample, describe the error, and also classify them based on their nature and causes. With these characteristics, EA is believed as a great tool which provides an appropriate way for investigating learner language. It also constitutes an appropriate starting point for the study of learner language and L2 acquisition.

ERROR ANALYSIS

An error is usually defined as a deviation from the norms of the target language. Since there are some misconception regarding the term of error and
must have since L2 studies have shown that in many cases a conviction is more important than just to ease all the difficulties encountered by students and also devote special care and emphasis. It is then gradually became a recognised part of applied linguistic since 1970s. In his work, Corder (1967) notes that errors could be significant in three ways. First, it provides the teacher with information about how much the learner had learnt. Second, it provides the researcher with evidence of how language was learnt and last, it serves as device by which learners discovered the rules of their target language. It also should be noted that errors were of different qualities and difficulties and distribution by the learner. In studies, they identified that errors analysis (EA) is a systematic consideration of occurrence, to collect and describe the appropriate starting point. Corder suggests the following steps in Error Analysis research: (1) the collection of a sample of learner language (2) Identification of errors (3) description of errors (4) interpretation of errors and (5) Evaluation of errors. The collection of sample become the starting point in Error analysis. Here, the researcher will decide what the sample and how to collect it. There are three types of sample that can be chosen based on their size: a massive sample, a specific sample and also an incidental sample. While in the identification of errors, the researcher will identify what is the error constituted in the data such as, which variety of the target language should serve as a norm, what is the distinction between error and mistake, whether the error is overt or covert, and also whether the analysis should examine only deviations in correctness or also deviations in appropriateness. In order to overcome these problems, Corder (1974) proposes an elaborate procedure for identifying the errors. This procedure acknowledges the importance of "interpretation” and distinguishes into three types; a normal interpretation, an authoritative interpretation and a plausible interpretation. A normal interpretation occurs when the analysis is able to assign a meaning to an utterance on the basis of the rules of the target language. On the other
hand, an authoritative interpretation involves asking the learner to say what the utterance means and by doing so to make an authoritative reconstruction. While a plausible interpretation can be obtained by referring to the context in which the utterance was produced or by translating the sentence literally into the learner’s L1.

In the process of describing the errors, it requires an attention on the surface properties of the learner’s utterances. Dulay, Burt, and Krashen (1982) use an observable, surface features of errors as the properties. While Corder (1974) describes it according to its systematicity including presystematic errors, systematic errors and postsystematic error. It is then suggested that to make the process become easier, researcher should classified it based on its linguistics categories which closely associated with a traditional EA undertaken for pedagogic purposes. The next step is explaining the errors which concerned with establishing the source of the error. Richards (1971) distinguishes this process as, interference errors, intra-lingual errors and development errors. While Dulay and Burt (1974) classified it into developmental, interference and unique. The last stage in doing error analysis is Evaluating the errors. This stage involves a consideration of the effect that errors have on the person addressed. It will also involve decisions on who the addressee will be, what errors they will be asked to, and how they will be asked to judge them.

**LINGUISTICS TAXONOMY OF ERRORS**

An alternative to a linguistic classification of errors is to use a surface strategy taxonomy. Dulay, Burt and Crashe 1982 state that it highlights the way surface structures are altered by some mean of operations such as omissions, additions, misinformation and also misordering. On the other hand, Corder (1974) distinguishes three types of error according to their systematicity. They are presystematic errors, systematic errors and also postsystematic errors. Those taxonomy require researchers to have an access to the learner therefore they can get an explanations about learners’ language behaviour.

As has been discussed previously, error can be defined as a deviation from the norms of the target language. In explaining this deviation, error can be divided into performance and competence. The error is what takes place when the deviations arises as a result of a lack of knowledge whereas the mistake comes up when learners fail to perform their competence. Along with many researches, it has been considered that competence error become
the central of L2 acquisition study. Richard (1974) classifies error into two categories, interlingual error and intralingual error. Intralingual error then divided into overgeneralization error, ignorance of rule restriction, incomplete application of rules and false concepts hypothesized. However, the concept of interlingual and intralingual error were a very complex notion. Schater and Murcia argue that a large number of learners' error are ambiguous with regard to source and cause. This findings of course, affected the value of EA as a tool for investigating L2 acquisition. Some of the criticisms toward it will be reviewed below.

CRITICISMS ON ERROR ANALYSIS

As a kind of data analysis, EA cannot escape from critiques. Ellis (1994) stated that "there are weaknesses in methodological procedures, theoretical problems and limitations in scope of EA". Regarding to these weaknesses, several studies has occurred to defend it. One of them is from Schater and Murcia (1977). They argue that the analysis of error has focused the attention of the investigator on the errors which lead them to exclude the other corpus from consideration. The classification of errors that are identified by the researcher is not usually proper. Macdonald Lighthound (2002) also supports this idea by stating that several researchers have pointed out the negative attitude reflected in their analysis. They only focus on finding the learners' mistakes and ignoring the achievements. Since the main focus of EA is the errors that have been made by the learners, it often neglected what make the learner successful. It also does not access the whole part of the learning process which make it impossible for the researcher to find out the unitary source of the error. Moreover, EA which has focused exclusively on what learners do has no way of investigating avoidance and therefore makes the investigation become limited.

RECENT STUDIES DONE ON ERROR ANALYSIS

Because of the weaknesses, there is a decreasing number on the use of EA for data analysis. But currently it is showing signs that this kind of analysis will be popular again. Here are several recent researches have been done to
identify and describe learners' errors. Mahdiye Barzegar in 2013 has conducted a research entitled Persian EFL students' Error analysis. This research attempts to investigate the errors made by the intermediate level students from the simin institute in Ramsar, Iran. 10 persian sentences were given to students to convert to English. The result then indicated that the majority of errors produced by these learners involved syntactico-morphological errors in the descriptive of errors, substitution in the process of errors and intralingual errors in the source of errors. Another study has been done by Aion Jaeriah and Ismail Ahmad Shah in 2013. They conducted a research with a title Oral Presentation errors of Malaysian students in an English for Academic course. This research aimed to find out the errors students of EAP made in their oral presentations. The subjects of the study were 32 students in an EAP class taught by one of the researcher. They were the third and the fourth year students of Islamic faculty. The students then asked to present an argument related to their faculty. Their performance then assessed based on the language, content and presentation skills. From the findings, it was known that verb form, word form and article were the most frequent types of errors made by the students. Misformation accounted for the majority of the total grammatical errors identified followed by omission and addition. Muhammad Afser kayun in 2015 wrote about error analysis and correction in oral communication in the English context of Bangladesh. This work focused on certain factors such as defining the errors in spoken language, error analysis, the role of the teachers to correct errors and to what extent they correct and self-correction in oral communication.

CONCLUSION

From the discussion above, it can be concluded that Error Analysis is a method that is used to investigate how learners acquire second language. It is a tool that provides empirical evidence for the behaviourist debates. Even there are some weaknesses on its procedure and scope, this method has made a substantial contribution to SLA research. Error Analysis in fact continues to be practised, although now it is more likely to serve as a means for investigating a specific research question than for providing a comprehensive account of learners' idiosyncratic forms. The focused of this paper on examining the detail of error analysis in second language hoped can help the readers including teachers, researchers and learners in understanding the error analysis better thus they can use it appropriately.
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